

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/050,908	KHANDROS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael J Feely	1712	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Michael J Feely.

 (2) N. Kenneth Burraston.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 January 2004
**Time:** approx. 2:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-12,30-39,47,48,55,60-64

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner faxed a set of proposed changes to put the case in condition for allowances. The issued prompting this proposal were discussed on 1/4/04. After discussing the proposal with the Applicants, Mr. Burraston called on 1/8/04 to approve the proposal. A copy of the fax is attached.